•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/720,598	BOCQUENET ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert Shiao	1626
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED i or other appropriate comm GHTS. This application is	n this application. If not included
1. This communication is responsive to <u>responses filed on 07.</u>	<u>/19, 2004</u> .	
2. $\boxtimes$ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>22-24,28-29, and 31-36, now are the second second</u>	<u>re 1-11</u> .	
3. $\square$ The drawings filed on $\_\_\_$ are accepted by the Examiner		
<ul> <li>4.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un</li> <li>a)  All b)  Some* c)  None of the:</li> <li>1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>	been received. been received in Application	on No. <u></u> .
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMI THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	of this communication to file ENT of this application.	e a reply complying with the requirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	tted. Note the attached EX s reason(s) why the oath o	AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF r declaration is deficient.
<ol> <li>CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") must</li> <li>(a)  including changes required by the Notice of Draftspersor</li> <li>1)  hereto or 2)  to Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>(b)  including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.6 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the</li> </ol>	On's Patent Drawing Review  Amendment / Comment of	r in the Office action of
<ol> <li>DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depos attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT F</li> </ol>	it of BIOLOGICAL MATI OR THE DEPOSIT OF BIO	ERIAL must be submitted. Note the DLOGICAL MATERIAL.
Attachment(s)  1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No./Mail Date  4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ⊠ Interview S Paper No./ 3), 7. ⊠ Examiner's	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)  ummary (PTO-413),  Mail Date  Amendment/Comment  Statement of Reasons for Allowance  -

Application/Control Number: 09/720,598 Page 2

Art Unit: 1626

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This application claims benefit of the foreign application: France 98/08258 with a filing date 06/25, 1998.

2. Amendment of claim 23-24, 34-36, cancellation of claims 1-21, 25-27, 30 in Paper No. 0704, dated July 19, 2004, is acknowledged. Claims 22-24,28-29, and 31-36 are pending in the application.

# Responses to Amendment and Arguments

- 3. Since the limitation of the starting materials aminonitrile has been incorporated into claims 34-36, therefore, the rejection of claims 34-36 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, has been overcome in Paper No. 0704, dated July 19, 2004. Applicant's arguments regarding the rejection of claim 36 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, filed on July 19, 2004, have been fully considered and they are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection of claim 36 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn herein.
- 4. Applicant's arguments regarding the rejection of claims 22-24, 28-29, and 31-36 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), filed on July 19, 2004, have been fully considered and they are persuasive. Since applicants stated that the instant invention and Cotting et al. US 6,262,259 were owned by or subjected to an obligation of assignment to the same person when the present invention was made. Therefore, Cotting et al. '259 is no longer a prior art over the instant invention, and the rejection of claims 22-24,28-29, and 31-36 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is obviated herein.

5. Applicant's arguments regarding the rejection of claims 22-24,28-29, and 31-36 under obviousness-type double patenting filed on filed on July 19, 2004, have been fully considered and they are persuasive. Applicants states that results as shown in Comparative Example 1 on the page 8 of the specification, a vaporized mixtuture of the reactants is charged to the hydrolysis reactor, is inferior to the instant processes. Since unexpected results of the instant invention could not have been predicted from Cotting et al. US 6,262,259, using a process of a vaporized mixtuture of the reactants being charged to the hydrolysis reactor, therefore, the rejection of claims 22-24,28-29, and 31-36 under obviousness-type double patenting is withdrawn herein.

## **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

6. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with George F. Lesmes on September 17, 2004. The application has been amended as follows:

In claim 22, line 2, after "between water vapor and", delete "an aminonitrile", and insert

--a linear or branched aliphatic aminonitrile having 3 to 12 carbon atoms--

### Reasons for Allowance

7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 22-24,28-29, and 31-36 are neither anticipated nor rendered obvious over the art of record, and therefore are allowable. This invention relates to method for evaporating aminonitrile. The closest prior art of record is Cotting et al. US 6,262,259, discloses method for preparing lactam. The difference between instant claims and Cotting et al. is that instant claims using the reactant water in the vaporization phase is not disclosed in the process of Cotting et al. Suggestion for modification of prior art to obtain the instant processes has not been found. Claims 22-24,28-29, and 31-36 are allowed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

### **Conclusions**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Shiao whose telephone number is (571) 272-0707. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane can be reached on (571) 272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

 $^{\mathcal{N}}$  Joseph K. McKane

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626

Robert Shiao, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626

September 20, 2004